Homeowner Monitoring Checklist Program: Owner Occupied Housing Rehabilitation

Grantee:	Grant Award #:
Award Amount:	Contract Period:
Reviewer:	Date of Review:

Client File Review

<u>Client Files Reviewed:</u>

	Property Address	Homeowners Last Name
Client File A:		
Client File B:		
Client File C:		
Client File D:		
Client File E:		
Client File F:		

Application:	<u>YES</u>	NO	<u>N/A</u>
1. Is there an applicant file checklist, application authorization to			
release information, and approval notice in the file?			
Comments Regarding the Application:			

Income:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
2. Is there an income calculation sheet in the client file?			
3. Was the applicant's income calculated according to the Part 5			
Definition of Annual Income and the 'Technical Guide for			
Determining Income Allowances for the HOME Program'?			
4. Was the applicant's income supported by source			
documentation/verification?			
5. Were the appropriate HOME or NAHTF income limits observed?			
Comments Regarding Income:			

Primary Residence:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
6. Is there documentation in the file that the applicant owns the			
property? (i.e. fee simple title)			
7. Is there documentation in the file that the grantee is certifying on			
an annual basis that the property is being maintained as the			
owner's primary residence?			
Comments Regarding Primary Residence:			

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Property Information:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
8. Is the property type and location documented in the file?			
9. Is the property listed as a single-family home (1-4 units)?			
Comments Regarding Property Information:			

Assistance:	YES	NO	N/A
10. Is there documentation in the file as to how assistance was determined?			
11. If other assistance (i.e. ATP funds, USDA funds, local funds, or homeowners contribution) is used for this project, is it documented in the file?			
Comments Regarding Assistance:			

Costs:	YES	NO	N/A
12. Is there documentation of all costs and compliance with the subsidy			
limits in the file?			
Comments Regarding Costs:			

Legal Paperwork:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
13. Is there a written agreement with the homeowner in the file?			
14. Is there a copy of the deed or note in the file which contains the			
appropriate HOME or NAHTF provisions, DED Contract			
Requirements and Program Guideline Requirements?			
15. Is a title search documented in the file, along with the title			
insurance?			
16. Are there other closing documents documented in the file?			
17. Is there documentation in the file that the deeds have been filed?			
18. Are the terms of the loan/grant consistent with the program's			
underwriting guidelines/program guidelines?			
19. Is there a written agreement in place documenting the loan/grant			
provided to the homeowner?			
20. Were all written agreements/loan documents properly executed			
and recorded?			
Comments Regarding Legal Paperwork:			

Insurance:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
21. Is there documentation in the file showing that the applicant has			
insurance on the property?			
22. Is there documentation in the file showing that the applicant has			
flood insurance? (only necessary if property is in a flood plain)			
Comments Regarding Insurance:			

Loan Terms/Conditions/Program Income:	YES	NO	N/A
23. Are there program income records in the file?			
24. Is there documentation in the file showing when repayment of the			
loan begins?			
Comments Regarding Loan Terms/Conditions/Program Income:			

Lead-Based Paint:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
25. Does this property require that Lead-Based Paint requirements be			
followed? (only applicable to houses built prior to 1978)			
26. Is there documentation in the file that the applicant received the			
lead-based paint pamphlet?			
27. Is there a copy of the lead hazard risk assessment or other lead			
hazard evaluation in the file?			
28. Is there documentation in the file that the homeowner received a			
copy of the lead hazard evaluation and reduction notice?			
29. Is there verification in the file that proper lead hazard reduction			
work was performed? (lead-safe work practices certified			
contractor(s))			
30. Is there verification in the file indicating that the housing unit			
passed the lead-based paint clearance testing?			
31. Is there documentation showing that the homeowner received a			
copy of the lead-based paint clearance results within 15 days of the			
test being completed?			
Comments Regarding Lead-Based Paint:			

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Voluntary Relocation/Displacement:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
32. If appropriate did the file document that relocation assistance was provided to the homeowner? (owner-occupied rehabilitation does not trigger URA or relocation benefits, some grantees may specifically state in their program guidelines that relocation is voluntary and under no circumstance will relocation assistance be offered)			
33. Has the grantee adopted an optional relocation policy? (HINT: If yes was answered for question 33, answer question 34, otherwise skip to question 35.)			
34. Is the relocation policy being implemented consistently among all homeowners?			
Comments Regarding Voluntary Relocation/Displacement:			

Work Write-Up/Cost Estimate:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
35. Is there a work write-up (or deficiency list) and a cost estimate in			
the file?			
36. Does the cost estimate establish that a minimum of \$1,000 of			
HOME/NAHTF funded rehabilitation work is required?			
37. Are all costs detailed on the cost estimate eligible under the HOME			
Program [24 CFR 92.206]/NAHTF?			
38. If there were costs which were not eligible to be funded under the			
HOME/NAHTF program, was another source of funding used for			
these costs?			
39. Does the work write-up include all work identified on the initial			
inspection report?			
40. Does the work write-up specify all the work that must be done to			
bring the building to the rehabilitation standards required?			
41. Was the work write-up written with enough detail to enable a			
contractor to provide a reliable bid?			
Comments Regarding Work Write-Up/Cost Estimate:			

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Bids:	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
42. Were copies of all bids received in the file?			
43. Does the work on the bid document reflect the work noted on the work write-up?			
44. Was more than one bid solicited?			
45. Did the grantee follow their adopted procurement procedures to solicit bids?			
46. Was the bid selected reasonable?			
Comments Regarding Bids:			

Contractor Eligibility:	YES	NO	N/A
47. Is there documentation in the file to show that the contractor(s) are			
not on the Excluded Parties List?			
Comments Regarding Contractor Eligibility:			

Contracts:	YES	NO	N/A
48. Is there a contract for rehabilitation work in the file?			
49. Does the contract contain the necessary and suggested provisions			
per the HOME/NAHTF Administration Manual?			
50. Is the contract signed by all necessary parties (contractor, grantee,			
and homeowner)?			
Comments Regarding Contracts:			

Pre-Construction Conference	YES	NO	N/A
51. Was a pre-construction conference conducted and documented in the file?			
Comments Regarding The Pre-Construction Conference:			

Notice to Proceed	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
52. Is there a notice to proceed in the file? (Following any Right of			
Recession period, a Notice to Proceed must be issued to the			
contractor that must specify the time period within which the work			
should begin and when the work should be completed.)			
Comments Regarding The Notice to Proceed:			

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Change Orders	YES	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>
53. Is there documentation in the file to show that any change orders			
that were needed were reviewed and approved?			
Comments Regarding Change Orders:			

Inspections	YES	NO	N/A
54. Is there a copy of an initial property inspection checklist/report			
which determines compliance with local codes and established			
rehabilitation standards?			
55. Is there documentation in the file to show that progress inspections			
of the rehabilitation work were performed?			
56. Is there documentation in the file that a final inspection was			
performed?			
Comments Regarding Inspections:			

Warranties/Guarantees	YES	NO	N/A
57. Is there documentation in the file to show that the contractor(s)			
had a warranty or guarantee for the work they performed?			
Comments Regarding Warranties/Guarantees:			

Payment Records & Liens	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
58. Were there payment records in the file?			
59. Were there lien releases from the contractors in the file?			
Comments Regarding Payment Records & Liens:			

After-Rehabilitation Value	YES	<u>NO</u>	N/A
60. Is there an after-rehabilitation value for the home in the file?			
61. Is the after-rehabilitation value calculated according to the			
HOME/NAHTF Administration Manual? (The value of the assisted			
property after rehabilitation must not exceed 95% of the median			
purchase price for the area, as published by HUD, 203(b) limit.)			
Comments Regarding After-Rehabilitation Value:			

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Compliance	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
62. Based upon file review, is documentation sufficient to determine			
compliance with HOME/NAHTF requirements?			
Comments Regarding Compliance:			
Other Comments:			

Draw-Down Table

63. Please complete the draw-down table below for 20% of the draws processed to-date. (Use the information gathered in the draw-down table to complete the remainder of the questions in the Financial Management Review Section, questions 64-87).

									Breakdov	vn of Funds
Draw-Down Number	Invoice Number(s) Claimed on Draw	Payee/Contractor	Invoice Amount	Date Funds were Received (In General Account)	Date Funds were Received (In Specific Account)	Payment Date	Check Number	Date Check Cleared Account	Local \$	HOME/NAHTF \$

Internal Control	<u>YES</u>	NO	<u>N/A</u>
64. Describe the grantee's payment system:			
65. Does the grantee have an adequate system to review and approve			
all billings presented for payment under the grant?			
66. Does the grantee have a regular payment cycle to ensure bills are			
paid promptly?			
67. Has the grantee conveyed to the vendors and contractors the			
timing of the payment cycle?			
Comments Regarding Internal Control:			
0 0			

Cash Management	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
68. Are draw downs deposited promptly into the proper account?			
69. Are bank statements reconciled promptly?			
Who performs the reconciliation?			
70. Is the person(s) responsible for handling HOME/NAHTF properly			
bonded according to state law?			
Comments Regarding Cash Management:			

Accounting Records	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
71. What type of accounting records are maintained for the grant (i.e. ledgers, computerized systems, etc.)?			
72. Are obligations tracked and activity budget balances maintained?			
73. Can program costs and obligations be traced to source			
documentation (i.e. invoices, billings, contracts, canceled checks, timesheets, etc.)?			
74. Do the grantee's records identify matching and other funds applied to each activity and that the proper matching percentage has been maintained? (If grantee has received waiver approval, note the terms of the waiver.)			
75. Please describe the method of accounting for other costs such as copies or supplies if charged to the grant.			
76. Does the grantees system adequately track administration costs incurred (copies, supplies, etc.)?			
 77. If the grantee charges indirect costs to the program, does the grantee have an indirect cost allocation plan which has been approved or acknowledge by the Department? Date of Plan: Date of DED Approval: 			
78. Do the grantee's records agree with reported amounts from the drawdown requests and audits?			
Comments Regarding Accounting Records:	·	·	·

Audits	YES	NO	N/A
79. Did the grantee receive more than \$500,000 in federal funds in one			
year?			
80. Did the grantee use an in-house Auditor?			
81. Did the grantee procure for an outside Auditor?			
82. Are Notification of Single Audits (or other records) on file for each			
year which supports the grantee's determination whether an audit			
in accordance with OMB A-133 was required?			
83. Are HOME /NAHTF funds utilized for payment of the Audit			
expense?			
84. If HOME/NAHTF funds are utilized does the amount paid by			
HOME/NAHTF funds exceed the proportion of HOME funds in			
relation to other funds included in the audit?			
85. Are copies of audits on file with any correspondence regarding			
audit findings?			
Comments Regarding Audits:			
	ſ		
Program Income	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>N/A</u>

86. Has the grantee earned program income from any grant activities?(HINT: If yes was answered for question 84, answer questions 85- 87, otherwise skip to question 89.)		
87. Has the grantee used program income to further the activity from which it was generated?		
88. Has the grantee expended or committed all available program income prior to drawing down additional HOME/NAHTF funds?		
89. Has the grantee earned program income which is to be committed to a revolving loan fund or a Reuse Plan?		
Comments Regarding Program Income:	-	

Other Comments Regarding the Financial Management Review:

Program Summary & Analysis

91. Were there any complaints by the contractor(s)? 92. Were complaints (if any) handled in a reasonable and timely manner?	Complaints	YES	NO	N/A
92. Were complaints (if any) handled in a reasonable and timely manner?	90. Were there any complaints by the homeowner?			
manner?	91. Were there any complaints by the contractor(s)?			
	92. Were complaints (if any) handled in a reasonable and timely			
Comments Regarding Complaints:	manner?			
	Comments Regarding Complaints:			

Applicant Requirements	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
93. Did the grantee place additional eligibility requirements on			
applicants?			
94. Were these requirements non-discriminatory?			
95. Does staff meet with eligible homeowners to inform them of their			
responsibilities under the program?			
Comments Regarding Applicant Requirements:			

Adequate Procedures	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
96. Are there adequate procedures for identifying projects that do not			
meet the minimum investment thresholds?			
97. Are there adequate procedures for identifying projects that exceed			
the maximum investment of HOME/NAHTF funds?			
98. Are there adequate procedures for assessing whether properties			
are located in target areas?			
Comments Regarding Adequate Procedures:			

Property Standards	<u>YES</u>	NO	N/A
99. Do work write-ups, inspection documentation and other			
construction documentation in the file demonstrate that all			
applicable property standards are being adhered to?			
100. Are there procedures in place to ensure that completed work meets all applicable property and rehabilitation standards?			
101. Are procedures in place to carry out and ensure quality of work?			
Comments Regarding Property Standards:			

Construction	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
102. Does the program involve a sufficient number of co	ntractors		
given its volume?			
103. Do construction documents show that all work was	completed,		
inspected, and approved prior to payment?			
104. Are procedures for construction management adeq	uate (i.e.		
construction delays and canceled projects not excessive)?		
105. Are final payments made only after all work is comp	oleted?		
Comments Regarding Construction:			

Additional Requirements	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>	
106. Is the grantee meeting all other additional requirements as stated in their contract?				
stated in their contract? Comments Regarding Additional Requirements:				

Other Comments Regarding Program Summary & Analysis:

Other Federal Requirements

Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity (FHEO Policy)	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
107. Does the grantee have any local or state specific resolutions or			
ordinance?			
108. Does the grantee maintain a file of current Federal Regulations?			
109. Are FHEO language and logos included in handbooks, policies			
and procedures manuals, and other program literature?			
110. Does the grantee have an EEO-4 form? (only required for			
employers with 15 or more employees)			
Comments Regarding FHEO Policy:			

Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity (FHEO) Complaints	YES	NO	N/A
111. Has the grantee received any FHEO complaints? (HINT: If yes			
was answered for question 109, answer question 110, otherwise			
skip to question 111.)			
112. If the grantee has received any FHEO complaints, have they			
taken adequate remedial actions?			
113. Has the grantee received any determinations of past			
discrimination? (HINT: If yes was answered for question 111,			
answer question 112, otherwise skip to question 113.)			
114. If the grantee has received determinations of past			
discrimination, have actions been taken?			
Comments Regarding FHEO Complaints:			

Employment Practices	YES	NO	N/A
115. Does the grantee follow adequate equal opportunity			
employment practices?			
116. Do advertisements contain equal opportunity language?			
117. Is documentation maintained regarding demographic			
information of applicants and individuals hired?			
118. Is documentation maintained regarding promotions, transfers,			
demotions, dismissals, etc?			
119. Does documentation reflect adequate equal opportunity			
employment practices?			
Comments Regarding Employment Practices:			

Contractors	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
120. Does the grantee enforce adequate contractor employment			
practices?			
121. Has the grantee maintained correspondence with contractors			
concerning contractor equal opportunity compliance?			
122. Has the grantee promoted and monitored equal opportunity			
practices of contractors?			
123. Does documentation reflect successful equal opportunity			
efforts?			
Comments Regarding Contractors:			

Affirm	native Marketing Outreach	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
124.	Has the grantee conducted public outreach and maintained			
ev	vidence of such in the file?			
125.	Has the grantee informed the public and likely homeowners of			
af	firmative marketing policies and fair housing laws?			
126.	Are policies communicated through media and other outlets?			
127.	Does the fair housing logo appear on marketing materials?			
Comm	nents Regarding Affirmative Marketing Outreach:			·

Affirmative Marketing Beneficiary Data	YES	NO	N/A
128. Does the grantee maintain adequate beneficiary data regard	ding		
the number, ethnicity and income level of persons responding to	D		
program marketing efforts?			
129. Does the grantee maintain adequate beneficiary data regard	ding		
the number, ethnicity and income level of persons residing in or			
planning to reside in HOME/NAHTF units who became aware of			
units through affirmative marketing?			
130. Are enough eligible households applying to the program to			
spend the allocated HOME/NAHTF funds?			
131. Are the applicants typically eligible and able to participate in	n the		
program?			
132. Is the ratio of minority groups that apply for the program			
representative of the eligible population?			
Comments Regarding Affirmative Marketing Beneficiary Data:			

Affirmative Marketing Complaints	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
133. Have any affirmative marketing complaints been filed against			
the grantee? (HINT: If yes was answered for question 131, answer			
questions 132, otherwise skip to question 133.)			
134. If affirmative marketing complaints have been filed against the			
grantee, have appropriate remedial steps been taken?			
Comments Regarding Affirmative Marketing Complaints:		•	

Handicapped Accessibility (Section 504)	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
Only Complete For Local Units of Government			
135. Has the grantee created a written Section 504 Self-Evaluation?			
(HINT: If yes was answered for question 133, answer questions			
134-138, otherwise skip to question 139.)			
136. Did the grantee consider input from interested individuals and			
groups?			
137. Have self-evaluations and supportive documentation been			
maintained for at least 5 years?			
138. If the grantee has more than 15 employees, has a Section 504			
Coordinator been designated?			
139. If the grantee has more than 15 employees, has the grantee			
adequately notified relevant groups and individuals regarding its			
section 504 non-discriminatory policy?			
140. If the grantee has more than 15 employees, has the grantee			
provided a copy of its written grievance procedures?			
Comments Regarding Handicapped Accessibility (Section 504):			

Equal	Opportunity/Section 3	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
141.	Is local opportunity provision included in bid packets?			
142.	Have contract recipients submitted local opportunity plans?			
143.	Has the grantee documented compliance with Section 3			
ef	forts?			
144.	Has the grantee documented its success at hiring low-income			
pe	ersons and awarding contracts to Section 3 businesses?			
145.	Has the grantee documented mechanisms by which it monitors			
bo	oth contractor and subcontractor Section 3 compliance?			
Comm	nents Regarding Equal Opportunity/Section 3:			

Minority & Women Business Enterprise	YES	NO	N/A
146. Has the grantee completed and filed Minority & Women's			
Business Enterprise Reports?			
147. Has the grantee obtained lists of MBEs and WBEs?			
148. Has the grantee networked with local Minority Business			
Organizations?			
149. Has the grantee solicited bids and services for MBEs and WBEs			
whenever possible?			
150. Has the grantee divided project activities into smaller tasks or			
services to allow participation by MBEs and WBEs?			
Comments Regarding Minority & Women Business Enterprise:			

Environmental Review	YES	NO	<u>N/A</u>
151. Has the grantee established Environmental Review Records for			
each project group?			
152. Do Environmental Review Records contain a project activity			
description and written signed determination of type of category			
(exempt, categorically excluded from NEPA and non-NEPA,			
categorically excluded from NEPA or subject to full environmental			
review)?			
153. Is the Environmental Review Record complete?			
154. Has the grantee included a completed Statutory Checklist in the			
Environmental Review Record at the project level and site specific			
level?			
155. Has the grantee published NOI/ROF (or a RROF/FONSI) in local			
newspapers and placed a cop in the file?			
156. Were public comments received? (HINT: If yes was answered			
for question 151, answer question 151, otherwise skip to question			
153.)			
157. If public comments were received, were they adequately			
addressed?			
158. Were copies of comments and actions taken as a result of			
comments maintained in the file?			
159. Did the grantee submit a the RROF and Certification and			
maintain a copy in the file?			
160. Did the grantee identify the location of the property in relation			
to their location to flood plains, runway zones, coastal resource			
systems, etc. and carry out appropriate insurance and notification			
requirements?			
161. Has an Environmental Assessment been conducted and placed			
in the file?			
162. Has the grantee included a completed Environmental			
Assessment Checklist in the Environmental Review file?			
163. Has the grantee provided documentation to support each item			
in the checklist?			
164. Has the grantee provided analysis of/recommendations for			
potential significant issues on the checklist?			
165. Has the grantee issued a FONSI?			
166. If the grantee issued a FONSI, did the grantee give the public an			1
opportunity to review and comment on the ERR?			
167. Has the grantee issued a FONSI?			1
168. Has the EIS statement been produced and included in the			1
review file?			
169. In the individual property files is there a clearance letter from			1
the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO)?			
170. Is there floodplain clearance in the file?			1
Comments Regarding Environmental Review:			1

^{*}This checklist is used to monitor HOME and Nebraska Affordable Housing Trust Fund (NAHTF) projects. Not all sections apply to each program. The monitoring visit will cover what is included in the project's contract.

Other Comments Regarding Other Federal Requirements: